## OHS Fines Scorecard (March 15 to April 15)



There were 12 significant reported OHS fines of over \$25,000 in Canada in the 4-week period from mid-March to mid-April, which is 3 more than last month and exactly the average fine volume during the first 4 periods of 2025. However, total fine amounts were \$2.029 million, the third lowest of the year. At just below \$170,000, average OHS fines were also down and below the monthly average of \$182,500 for the entire year. Here are the OHS fine totals for the first 4 months of 2025.

Table 1. OHS Fines in 2025 At A Glance

| Period                 | Total<br>Reported<br>Fines | Reported<br>6-Figure<br>Fines | Total Fines Value (in millions of dollars) | Average Fine<br>Amount |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| To mid-Jan             | 7                          | 1                             | \$0.684                                    | \$97,714               |
| Mid-Jan to mid-Feb     | 20                         | 14                            | \$3.689                                    | \$184,450              |
| Mid-Feb to mid-March   | 9                          | 5                             | \$2.361                                    | \$263,300              |
| Mid-March to mid-April | 12                         | 5                             | \$2.029                                    | \$169,083              |
| Total                  | 48                         | 25                            | \$8.760                                    | \$182,500              |

Source: Bongarde

## 6-Figure OHS Fines

Five of the 12 reported significant OHS fines during the period totaled \$100,000 or more. But that number is somewhat deceptive to the extent that 3 of those fines came from the same case. Even so, of the 48 significant fines reported for the year, 25 have been in the 6-figure range.

For the first time in 3 months, the biggest fine of the period didn't come from BC. Alberta stole this month's spotlight by imposing over \$1.2 million in total fines against 3 different companies for the same incident involving a crane fatality, including the biggest fine of the period and fourth biggest of the year—\$495,000 against Suncor for a crane rigging violation. The other 2 companies were hit with fines of \$374,000 apiece, number 5 on this year's Top 10 OHS fines list. It's worth noting that all 3 of the companies made a plea deal, agreeing to plead guilty to one charge in exchange for the dropping of multiple other charges.

BC and Ontario reported the only other 6-figure fines during the period in cases involving serious injuries but not fatalities. Five of this year's Top 11 OHS fines have come from BC. Alberta has 4 of the Top 10 and Ontario 2.

## Table 2. Top 10 OHS Fines of 2025 So Far

| Fine   | Province | Type of          | Result |
|--------|----------|------------------|--------|
| Amount | FIGATICE | Company/Incident | Resuct |

|   | Fine<br>Amount | Province            | Type of Company/Incident   | Result                                     |
|---|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 1 | \$783,068      | British<br>Columbia | Provincial Government/High-Risk violations for using untrained and unqualified traffic controllers at music festival site. | No fatality or injury.                     |
| 1 | \$783,068      | British<br>Columbia | Multiple storage rack violations at 2 Walmart stores.  | No fatality or injury.                     |
| 3 | \$710,000      | British<br>Columbia | Electrical, lockout, and machine guarding violations at mining company sites.  | 2 subcontractor workers seriously injured. |
| 4 | \$495,000      | Alberta             | Crane rigging violation by energy company*.  | Fatality.                                  |
| 5 | \$374,000      | Alberta             | Hazard assessment violation by mining equipment company*.  | Fatality.                                  |
| 5 | \$374,000      | Alberta             | Unspecified violation by crane company*.   | Fatality.                                  |
| 6 | \$365,000      | Alberta             | Diving operation violations at reservoir site.   | Fatality.                                  |
| 7 | \$351,059      | British<br>Columbia | Prime contractor/Rooftop derrick cranes incident.  | Serious<br>injury.                         |
| 8 | \$350,000      | Alberta             | Pipeline contractor/Machine operator crushed.  | Fatality.                                  |

|    | Fine<br>Amount | Province            | Type of Company/Incident   | Result                 |
|----|----------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| 9  | \$255,346      | British<br>Columbia | Excavation violations leading to gas line rupture that releases natural gas. | No fatality or injury. |
| 10 | \$250,000      | Ontario             | Excavation contractor/Trench collapse.                                       | 2 workers<br>killed.   |
| 10 | \$250,000      | Ontario             | Utilities company/Electrocution.   | Critical injury.       |

<sup>\*</sup> Fine is for company's role in same incident involving a crane fatality.

Source: Bongarde

Table 3. 6-Figures OHS Fines in 2025 By Jurisdiction

|   | Jurisdiction     | Reported 6-Figure Fines in 2025 |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | Ontario          | 9                               |
| 2 | Alberta          | 9                               |
| 2 | British Columbia | 7                               |

**Source:** Bongarde

Alberta has now tied Ontario for the most reported 6-figure fines of the year. BC is close behind with 7. Somewhat surprisingly, no other jurisdiction has yet reported a 6-figure OHS fine in 2025.

## Overall OHS Fines

For the second straight month, BC handed out the most significant OHS fines of the period with 5, followed by

Ontario and Alberta with 3 apiece. Québec accounted for the only other significant fine in a case that actually went to trial before the employer pleaded guilty.

Table 3. OHS Fines Reported March 15 to April 15, 2025\* (over \$25,000)

| Jurisdiction | Fine      | Company                           | What<br>Happened  | Violation(s)                   |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Alberta      | \$495,000 | Suncor Energy<br>Services<br>Inc. | Heavy equipment technician is killed in a fall after being hit by a piece of equipment suspended from a crane while conducting shovel maintenance duties. | sharp edges on<br> loads being |

| Jurisdiction | Fine      | Company  | What<br>Happened   | Violation(s)   |
|--------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Alberta      | \$374,000 | NCSG Crane &<br>Heavy Haul<br>Services<br>Ltd. | Heavy equipment technician is killed in a fall after being hit by a piece of equipment suspended from a crane while conducting shovel maintenance duties (same incident as above). | Failure to ensure a hazard assessment was repeated when a new work process was introduced. |
| Alberta      | \$374,000 | Joy Global<br>(Canada)<br>Ltd.                 | Heavy equipment technician is killed in a fall after being hit by a piece of equipment suspended from a crane while conducting shovel maintenance duties (same incident as above). | Failure to ensure a worker's health and safety.  |

| Jurisdiction        | Fine      | Company                                    | What<br>Happened   | Violation(s)   |
|---------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| British<br>Columbia | \$255,346 | Complete<br>Utility<br>Contractors<br>Ltd. | A backhoe removing gravel from a ditch strikes a gas line, causing a release of natural gas. | Failure to: • Ensure that excavation work near an underground utility service was carried out in accordance with the utility owner's requirements. • Accurately determine the location of all underground utility services before excavating with powered tools and equipment. |

| Jurisdiction | Fine      | Company                              | What<br>Happened  | Violation(s)   |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Ontario      | \$100,000 | Southgate<br>Carpets<br>Incorporated | remove roof   | <ul><li>ensure that:</li><li>Materials</li><li>and equipment</li><li>were moved</li><li>safely.</li><li>The worker</li><li>was protected</li><li>by appropriate</li><li>scaffolding,</li></ul> |
| Ontario      | \$90,000  | Siltech<br>Corporation               | One worker is killed and the other seriously injured in an explosion that occurs while they're transferring flammable liquid from a large container into 2 smaller metal pails. | Failure to ensure that containers and dispensing equipment were bonded and grounded when a flammable liquid is dispensed.  |

| Jurisdiction        | Fine     | Company                               | What<br>Happened  | Violation(s)   |
|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Ontario             | \$85,000 | John Harris<br>Concrete<br>Ltd.       | Worker without proper training in safe tree felling practices is killed while felling a tree.   | Failure to provide training on how to safety fell trees.   |
| British<br>Columbia | \$78,369 | Revelstoke<br>Mountain<br>Resort Inc. | Ski resort worker using utility vehicle to install parking lot signage suffers serious injury when the vehicle topples over a steep embankment as it's being backed up. | Failure to: • Ensure there was a curb or guard at the edge of the parking lot. • Ensure the vehicle was equipped with a rear-view mirror. • Provide adequate supervision or training on operating mobile equipment in areas with steep terrain. • Ensure riders of mobile equipment used seat belts. |

| Jurisdiction        | Fine     | Company                                  | What<br>Happened   | Violation(s)   |
|---------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| British<br>Columbia | \$68,479 | Lane<br>Construction<br>Services<br>Ltd. | Worker acting as the standby person for confined space work in a below- ground storm water tank falls 4.3 m (14 ft.) through an unsecured floor opening into the tank. | done before a worker entered a confined space. • Fall protection was |

| Jurisdiction        | Fine       | Company                                       | What<br>Happened   | Violation(s)  |
|---------------------|------------|---|--|---|
| Québec              | \$42,018** | Mirabel<br>Urbain Projet<br>immobilier<br>inc | Three masons suffer serious injuries when the 3-storey residential building they're working on suddenly collapses. | Failure, as project manager, to provide a safe building for masonry work.   |
| British<br>Columbia | \$39,116   | M.R. Crane<br>Service Ltd.                    | WorkSafeBC inspectors spot crane violations at 6-storey building construction site.                                | Failure to ensure that tower crane had a zone- limiting device preventing the crane from operating within the minimum distance to exposed electrical equipment or conductors, a repeat violation. |

| Jurisdiction        | Fine     | Company                         | What<br>Happened   | Violation(s)   |
|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| British<br>Columbia | \$28,424 | Allaire<br>Construction<br>Inc. | WorkSafeBC inspectors spot multiple violations at townhouse complex construction site. | Failure, as prime contractor, to ensure health and safety activities were coordinated and to establish and maintain a system of regulatory compliance. |

<sup>\*</sup> BC OHS fines get reported a month late but are included in the most recent period to ensure continuity and consistency for comparison purposes across all provinces.

Source: Bongarde

**Source:** Bongarde

<sup>\*\*</sup> Fine imposed by a court in a case that went to trial before the employer pleaded guilty.